UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED
2008 JUL -3 AM 9:02
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY [signature] DEPUTY

This space for Clerk's Office File Stamp

TO: X U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Houston

FROM: J. Paris, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 6/30/08

CASE NO.: 08cv883 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Alvin Hennington Jr.

CASE TITLE: Hennington v. FBI

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Ex Parte

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

✓	*Local Rule*	*Discrepancy*
☐	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
☐	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
☐	**5.4**	**Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.**
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
☐	7.1	Missing table of contents
☐	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
☐	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
☐		Supplemental documents require court order
☐		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		OTHER: Ex Parte Communication No relief requested

Date forwarded: 7/1/08

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Houston

Dated: 7/1/08 By: [signature]

cc: All Parties

K:\COMMON\FORMS\CIVCRM35.WPD
Form # Civ/Crim 35 (4/98) -- [Docuument Discrepancy / Court Order]

REJECTED

MC-025

SHORT TITLE: Alvin Hennington VS V.A. San Diego Healthcare system

CASE NUMBER: 08CV0883-WQH AJB

ATTACHMENT (Number): ______ Page ____ of ____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.) (Add pages as required)

Ex-Parte

I was Falsely arrested for the third time, The second time this year, Since I Filed the conflict of Interist civil cases I Filed a Default Judgement against Federal Bureau of Investigation ~~May 30, 2008~~ April 20-08 Date of Complaint March 3, 2008 San Diego Superior Court of California, County of San Diego. I Filed an Amended Complaint and served U.S. Customs and Border Protection services, Federal Bureau of Investigation On April 23, 2008. as shown on attached Form [Non-levy-San Diego County Sheriffs Department Form. As well as conflics of Interist Federal Law Violations the Defendants willfully Violated, The Defendants Also Violated all laws on Page 3

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)